IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Application No.428 of 2007

In

Criminal Appeal No.621 of 2006

Ahmed Mohiddin Jamaluddin Sayyed. ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent

Mr.H.H. Ponda for Applicant.

Mr.A.R. Patil, APP for Respondent- State.

CORAM : DR.S. RADHAKRISHNAN &
SMT.ROSHAN DALVI, JJ.

Dated : 9th August, 2007

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned APP for the Respondent- State.

2. Mr.Ponda, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant, contends that there are discrepancies in both the thumb impressions given in two dying declarations by the deceased. We have perused the original dying declarations. One of them was treated as FIR. Prima facie, we do not find any

discrepancy in the thumb impressions of the deceased. Over and above, the evidence of Prosecution Witness No.6-Dr.Ajay Ashok Gujar also supports the prosecution case and there is no material contradiction in both the dying declarations.

3. In view of the above, we are not inclined to grant the Bail Application. The Bail Application stands dismissed accordingly.

(DR.S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(ROSHAN DALVI, J.)